1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	RANDOLPH CYPRIAN,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:19-CV-05047-RBL-JRC
12	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL AND ORDER
13 14	DANIEL WHITE et al.,	GRANTING EXTENSION
15	Defendants.	
16	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States	
17	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. Before the Court is plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel	
18	and motion for an extension to file a second amended complaint. Dkt. 9.	
19	Although indigent defendants in criminal cases are entitled to appointed counsel, there is	
20	no constitutional right to appointed counsel in a § 1983 civil action. Storseth v. Spellman, 654	
21	F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981); see United States v. \$292,888.04 in U.S. Currency, 54 F.3d 564,	
22	569 (9th Cir. 1995) ("[a]ppointment of counsel under this section is discretionary, not	
23	mandatory"). However, in "exceptional circumstances," a district court may appoint counsel for	
24	indigent civil litigants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) (formerly 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)). Rand	

v. Roland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), overruled on other grounds, 154 F.3d 952 (9th 2 Cir. 1998). To decide whether exceptional circumstances exist, the Court must evaluate both "the 3 likelihood of success on the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 5 1331 (9th Cir. 1986) (quoting Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983)). A plaintiff 6 must plead facts showing he has an insufficient grasp of his case or the legal issues involved and 7 an inadequate ability to articulate the factual basis of his claims. Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of America, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004). 8 9 In plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel, he states generally that he has an insufficient grasp of the case or the legal issues involved, and that he is unable to articulate the factual basis 10 11 of his claim. Dkt. 9. However, plaintiff fails to show exceptional circumstances necessary to 12 warrant appointment of counsel. At this time, plaintiff has not shown, nor does the Court find, 13 that this case involves complex facts or law. Rather, he has demonstrated an ability to articulate 14 the factual basis of his claims in a fashion understandable to the Court. Further, it is still early in 15 the case. Plaintiff has not yet filed a second amended complaint and defendants have not yet been served. See Dkt. Because it is so early in the case, the Court cannot yet determine plaintiff's 16 17 likelihood of success on the merits. Therefore, the Court finds that plaintiff has failed to show that the appointment of counsel is appropriate at this time. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion to 18 19 appoint counsel (Dkt. 9) is denied without prejudice. 20 21 22 23

24

Plaintiff's motion also states that without counsel he will need an additional thirty days to file his second amended complaint. Dkt. 9. Plaintiff shows good cause for the extension. The motion for extension is granted and plaintiff's amended complaint will be due on or before June 15, 2019. Dated this 2nd day of May, 2019. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge